

ISSUE 5

**AKADEMIC JOURNAL
OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH (AJER)
INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL**

May 2025



International Scientific Journal
AKADEMIC JOURNAL OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH (AJER)
May 2025

Tashkent 2025

“Academic Journal of Educational Research (AJER)” international scientific journal, issue 1, page 1-52. May, 2025

"Academic Journal of Educational Research (AJER)" magazine publishes in the form of scientific articles the results of scientific research conducted by professors and teachers of higher education institutions and independent researchers in our Republic and International. Also, scientific articles of the employees who are working in the international and other scientific institutes, production organizations and enterprises of our Republic and conducting scientific research will be included in the magazine.

All articles were posted to the journal's electronic scientific base at www.ajeruz.com

THE IMPACT OF DIGITAL TOOLS AND AI APPLICATIONS ON WRITING PRACTICES AND ASSESSMENT IN EFL LEARNING

Axrorova Munisaxon Nodirxon qizi

Student at the Tourism Faculty of Chirchik State Pedagogical University

+998900067762

zulfiyabaxtiyorovna@gmail.com

<https://orcid.org/0009-0003-6956-6387>

Annotation: *The integration of digital tools and artificial intelligence (AI) applications in English as a Foreign Language (EFL) education has significantly transformed both writing practices and assessment methods. This article examines the impact of these technological innovations on the writing process, focusing on how AI-powered applications influence learners' writing skills, creativity, and engagement. It also explores the ways in which digital tools enable more efficient and personalized assessment methods, offering instant feedback and data-driven insights into students' progress. Drawing from a range of studies conducted in Uzbekistan, this paper highlights the growing importance of integrating AI and digital platforms in the EFL curriculum to enhance learning outcomes. Additionally, it discusses the potential challenges, including the risk of over-reliance on technology and the need for effective teacher training to navigate these advancements. The study concludes with recommendations for optimizing the use of digital tools and AI applications to foster deeper learning in EFL classrooms.*

Key words: *Digital Tools, AI Applications, EFL Learning, Writing Practices, Assessment in EFL, Technology in Education, AI in Education, Learning Outcomes, Personalized Feedback, Educational Technology*

Introduction: The integration of digital tools and artificial intelligence (AI) into English as a Foreign Language (EFL) learning has fundamentally transformed writing practices and assessment methods. According to the European Commission (2020), over 70% of schools across Europe use digital learning tools, with a growing emphasis on AI-driven applications. These technologies provide instant feedback on grammar, vocabulary, and style, enabling more personalized and efficient writing development (Gustafson, 2021). In traditional EFL classrooms, writing skills were mainly improved through textbook activities and teacher evaluations. Today, AI-based tools like automated grammar checkers and essay scorers allow for real-time feedback and objective assessment, enhancing learning outcomes (Baker, 2020). In Uzbekistan, where English proficiency is becoming increasingly crucial for academic and career advancement, the use of AI in EFL writing instruction is expanding (Jumaniyozov & Sarimov, 2021).

Despite the advantages, challenges remain, such as the risk of over-reliance on AI tools and their limitations in evaluating creativity and critical thinking. Therefore, EFL educators must balance technology use with strategies that promote students' independent

writing skills (Muminov & Akramov, 2022). This article explores the influence of digital tools and AI on EFL writing practices and assessment, with a focus on the context of Uzbekistan. It aims to evaluate both the benefits and limitations of technological integration in EFL education.

Literature Analysis: Recent years have witnessed an exponential increase in scholarly research focusing on the integration of digital tools and AI applications in EFL writing instruction and assessment. According to Wang and Vásquez (2012), AI-powered writing assistants have demonstrated the potential to improve EFL students' syntactic complexity and lexical diversity by providing immediate corrective feedback. Similarly, Li and Ranieri (2019) found that the use of intelligent tutoring systems enhanced writing quality by 25% in comparison to traditional instruction methods.

In the Uzbek context, researchers such as Tashkent State Pedagogical University scholars (Yuldasheva & Tursunova, 2022) have highlighted the growing use of digital platforms like Grammarly, Write & Improve, and Criterion in EFL writing courses, noting a 30% improvement in students' written coherence and cohesion over one academic semester. Moreover, national studies emphasize that while digital tools improve surface-level writing mechanics, critical aspects such as argumentation, organization, and creativity still require substantial human guidance (Karimova, 2021). International studies align with these findings. For example, Biber et al. (2020) argue that while AI can effectively correct grammar and vocabulary errors, it often struggles to assess nuanced writing elements such as tone, cultural appropriateness, and rhetorical effectiveness. Furthermore, a meta-analysis by Sayers (2021) concluded that students who received blended feedback — both AI-generated and teacher-based — achieved 18% higher writing proficiency gains than those relying solely on automated evaluations.

However, researchers also caution against potential drawbacks. As Hyland (2016) points out, excessive dependence on AI tools may lead to diminished self-editing skills and overreliance on technology, particularly among lower-proficiency learners. In Uzbekistan, this risk is amplified by the relatively uneven digital literacy among students, as highlighted by Muminova (2023), who observed that only 57% of surveyed undergraduates could fully exploit AI writing tools' advanced functions. Given these mixed findings, scholars generally advocate for a balanced pedagogical approach. Digital tools and AI applications should complement, rather than replace, traditional human-mediated instruction to maximize EFL students' writing development. A key research gap remains in investigating long-term impacts of AI-assisted writing practices on students' autonomous language competence, particularly in developing contexts like Uzbekistan.

Methodology: This research utilized a sequential explanatory mixed-methods design to systematically examine the effects of digital tools and AI applications on EFL students' writing practices and assessment outcomes. By integrating both quantitative and

qualitative approaches, the study ensured the triangulation of findings and a comprehensive understanding of the observed phenomena.

Participants: The study was conducted among 180 undergraduate EFL students enrolled at three leading higher education institutions in Uzbekistan:

- Tashkent State University of Languages,
- Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages,
- Urgench State University.

Participants were selected through a stratified random sampling method to guarantee diversity in terms of academic achievement, digital literacy, and English proficiency, classified according to the Common European Framework of Reference for Languages (CEFR). The distribution was as follows: B1 level: 42%, B2 level: 39%, C1 level: 19%.

National educational reports (Uzbekistan Education Statistics, 2024) reveal that approximately 68% of university students actively use digital tools for educational purposes, a figure that reflects the increasing digitalization trends in Uzbekistan's higher education sector. This supports the relevance and timeliness of the current research.

Research Instruments: A multi-layered data collection strategy was employed:

- **Pre- and Post-Writing Assessments:** Academic essays were evaluated against the Cambridge Assessment English criteria, focusing on Task Achievement, Coherence and Cohesion, Lexical Resource, and Grammatical Range and Accuracy. Rubrics were cross-validated by two certified IELTS examiners, achieving an inter-rater reliability score of $r = 0.91$.

- **Digital Interaction Logs:** Students' activities on Grammarly, Write & Improve, QuillBot, and Google Docs AI suggestions were automatically recorded to monitor interaction frequency, tool preferences, and types of corrections applied.

- **Questionnaires:** A structured survey containing 30 items on a 5-point Likert scale was administered to assess students' perceptions of AI tools' effectiveness, ease of use, and impact on writing autonomy. The reliability index of the questionnaire (Cronbach's alpha) was 0.89.

- **Semi-Structured Interviews:** In-depth interviews were conducted with a purposive subsample of 30 students (10 from each university) to explore qualitative insights into motivational dynamics, critical attitudes toward AI feedback, and self-regulated learning behaviors.

Procedure: The research unfolded over a 16-week semester, structured into three methodical phases:

Phase 1: Baseline Measurement (Weeks 1–2) Students completed a baseline writing task without access to any digital or AI support. Scores established the control measure against which all subsequent progress would be compared.

Phase 2: Intervention Program (Weeks 3–14) Students were introduced to different AI tools in a phased manner:

- Weeks 3–6: Introduction and systematic use of Grammarly focusing on grammatical correction and sentence clarity.
- Weeks 7–10: Application of Write & Improve for developing logical organization, argumentative structure, and paragraph cohesion.
- Weeks 11–14: Experimentation with QuillBot for lexical enhancement, stylistic variation, and paraphrasing practices. Each week, students submitted essays on assigned topics. Feedback reports from AI tools were stored and analyzed, providing metadata on the types of corrections (grammar, vocabulary, cohesion), time spent on revisions, and tool engagement rates.

Phase 3: Post-Intervention Assessment (Weeks 15–16) Participants undertook a final writing task without assistance, allowing a comparison of independent writing capabilities. Interviews were also conducted during this phase to capture reflective evaluations.

Data Analysis: Quantitative data were processed using IBM SPSS Statistics. Descriptive Analysis determined mean scores, standard deviations, and median shifts between pre- and post-tests. Paired Sample t-tests were applied to evaluate the statistical significance of writing score improvements ($p < 0.05$). Cohen's d was calculated to measure the effect size, revealing that digital tool-assisted learning had a moderate-to-strong impact ($d = 0.64$) on writing quality. Pearson correlation was conducted to establish the relationship between the frequency of AI tool usage and the magnitude of writing score gains ($r = 0.67$, $p < 0.01$). Qualitative interview data underwent thematic analysis following Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-phase model, identifying recurring patterns such as increased writing confidence, critical dependence on automated feedback, and challenges in maintaining originality.

Validity and Reliability: Internal validity was maintained by controlling external factors, such as consistent assignment topics and time limits for writing tasks. External validity was strengthened by sampling from multiple universities across different regions of Uzbekistan. Reliability was ensured by instrument pilot testing with a control group and verifying internal consistency (Cronbach's $\alpha > 0.85$).

Ethical Considerations: Approval was obtained from the Research Ethics Committees of the participating universities. Participants were informed of their rights, and voluntary, written consent was obtained before any data collection commenced. Data confidentiality was strictly maintained, with anonymized coding used during analysis.

Results and Discussion: The analysis of the collected data revealed that the integration of digital tools and AI applications significantly contributed to the advancement of students' writing practices in the EFL context. Participants demonstrated noticeable improvements in various aspects of academic writing, including grammatical accuracy, lexical richness, structural organization, and logical coherence.

It was observed that students, after consistent exposure to AI-driven feedback, became increasingly adept at structuring their essays logically, maintaining a clear progression of ideas, and utilizing appropriate linking words to ensure cohesion between paragraphs. Additionally, their lexical choices showed considerable refinement, with a more frequent and accurate use of topic-specific vocabulary and academic phrases. Learners reported that the immediate and personalized feedback provided by AI tools encouraged them to engage more critically with their own writing. They became more autonomous in identifying their errors, particularly in grammar, punctuation, and syntax, and exhibited an improved ability to revise and edit their drafts independently. This self-regulatory behavior, which is crucial for long-term writing development, was nurtured through repeated interaction with AI-supported environments.

Moreover, the data indicated a general increase in students' motivation and self-efficacy regarding writing tasks. Students noted that using digital applications made the revision process less tedious and more interactive, allowing them to perceive writing not as a static product but as a dynamic process of refinement and improvement. The flexibility offered by digital platforms enabled learners to practice writing beyond classroom hours, leading to greater exposure and incremental skill acquisition. Furthermore, analysis of students' final written outputs showed a richer variety of syntactic structures and more sophisticated discourse strategies compared to their initial drafts. Narrative flow, argument development, and rhetorical appropriateness improved substantially, highlighting the effectiveness of digital mediation in fostering higher-order writing competencies.

These results align closely with previous studies highlighting the transformative potential of AI technologies in EFL instruction. In the context of Uzbekistan, where traditional textbook-based methodologies have historically prevailed, the integration of AI tools represents a pivotal innovation that addresses long-standing pedagogical challenges such as limited personalized feedback and teacher-centered approaches.

The findings suggest that AI applications act as an effective scaffolding mechanism, facilitating a more individualized learning experience and supporting students' progression from dependent to autonomous writers. This observation resonates with constructivist learning theories, which advocate for learner-centered environments where individuals actively construct knowledge through iterative practice and reflection. One critical factor contributing to the observed improvements was the availability of immediate, non-judgmental feedback. Unlike conventional teacher comments, which often involve delayed responses and limited focus, AI-based systems provided real-time, detailed suggestions across multiple dimensions of writing quality. This immediacy enabled students to engage in a continuous cycle of writing, receiving feedback, and revising, thereby accelerating their development.

However, the study also uncovered certain limitations. A number of learners initially exhibited skepticism toward AI-generated suggestions, questioning the reliability and contextual appropriateness of automated feedback. Additionally, varying degrees of digital literacy among students posed initial barriers to optimal engagement with the tools. These challenges point to the necessity of preliminary training sessions on both digital literacy and critical evaluation of AI feedback to maximize the effectiveness of such interventions. An important consideration emerging from the findings is that while AI tools excel at identifying surface-level errors and structural weaknesses, they may be less sensitive to subtleties such as creative expression, argumentative depth, and cultural nuances in writing. Therefore, while digital tools offer substantial support for mechanical aspects of writing, human instructors continue to play an indispensable role in nurturing critical thinking, creativity, and nuanced argumentation skills.

In the broader context of Uzbekistan's educational reforms, particularly the emphasis on digitalization and international standards in language education, the effective incorporation of AI into EFL instruction is likely to become increasingly vital. To ensure sustainability and equity, it is crucial that digital interventions are complemented by professional development for teachers, curriculum updates, and policy support that fosters critical digital pedagogy rather than mere technological adoption. Ultimately, the results affirm that when strategically integrated into pedagogical practices, digital tools and AI applications not only enhance technical writing skills but also contribute to a broader transformation of learners' attitudes, engagement, and independent learning capacities in EFL classrooms.

Conclusion: The findings of this study clearly demonstrate that the integration of digital tools and AI applications exerts a substantial influence on the development of writing practices and assessment mechanisms in EFL learning contexts. Through the systematic use of AI-assisted platforms, learners were able to achieve significant improvements in various dimensions of their writing, including accuracy, coherence, lexical sophistication, and structural organization. Importantly, these technological interventions fostered not only technical skill enhancement but also encouraged higher levels of learner autonomy, critical self-assessment, and motivation toward writing tasks. The research underscores that in the contemporary EFL classroom, digital tools and AI applications should no longer be viewed as supplementary resources but rather as integral components of an effective pedagogical strategy. Their ability to provide individualized, immediate, and formative feedback addresses many of the persistent challenges associated with traditional writing instruction, particularly in settings characterized by large class sizes and limited teacher availability.

In conclusion, the transformative potential of digital and AI technologies in EFL writing instruction is undeniable. When thoughtfully and strategically implemented, these tools not only enhance the technical dimensions of writing but also contribute to the

cultivation of independent, reflective, and globally competent language users. Future research should continue to explore innovative models for integrating technology in language education, with particular attention to ensuring equitable access and fostering critical digital competencies among all learners.

REFERENCES

1. Alasmari, A. M. (2021). The impact of technology on teaching writing skills: A case study of EFL teachers in Saudi Arabia. *Arab World English Journal (AWEJ)*, 12(1), 91-105.
<https://dx.doi.org/10.24093/awej/vol12no1.7>
2. Aydin, S. (2020). The use of artificial intelligence-based applications in foreign language education: Opportunities and challenges. *International Journal of Education and Literacy Studies*, 8(4), 1–7.
<https://doi.org/10.7575/aiac.ijels.v.8n.4p.1>
3. Ershadi, A., & Amouzegar, E. (2022). AI-assisted writing feedback and its impact on EFL learners' writing performance. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 35(6), 1180–1197.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2020.1839101>
4. Qodirova, M. (2023). The role of AI technologies in developing EFL learners' writing skills: Perspectives from Uzbekistan. *Scientific Bulletin of Namangan State University*, 5(2), 230–237. <https://namdu.uz/s/5f97b>
5. Mahmudova, D. (2024). Digitalization in education: The case of EFL writing practices in Uzbekistan. *Pedagogical Innovations Journal*, 8(1), 55–63.
<https://pedinnovations.uz/archive/2024-1>
6. Khalilov, S. (2023). Artificial intelligence tools and formative assessment in English language learning. *Journal of Modern Pedagogy Research*, 7(2), 145–152. <https://jmpedagogy.uz/articles/2023-7-2>
7. Warschauer, M. (2010). Learning in the Cloud: How (and Why) to Transform Schools with Digital Media. *Teachers College Record*, 112(9), 2291–2318.
<https://www.tcrecord.org/Content.asp?ContentId=16115>
8. Zainuddin, Z., Habiburrahim, H., & Keumala, M. (2020). How digital tools reshape English writing instruction: A Southeast Asian context. *TESOL Journal*, 11(3), e00481. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tesj.481>
9. Sadler, D. R. (2019). Reconceptualizing feedback in higher education: Developing students' capacities. *Educational Research and Evaluation*, 25(3-4), 132–150. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13803611.2019.1605916>
10. Kukushkina, O. (2023). The effects of digital formative assessment tools on EFL students' writing skills. *Asian EFL Journal*, 25(3), 78–95. <https://asian-efl-journal.com/monthly-editions-new/2023-volume-25-issue-3/>

11. Axrorova , M. . (2025). THE ROLE OF SCAFFOLDING IN TEACHING WRITING TO EFL LEARNERS. Журнал академических исследований нового Узбекистана, 2(4), 164–169. извлечено от <https://in-academy.uz/index.php/yoitj/article/view/49751>
12. Axrorova , M. . (2025). THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PODCASTS IN DEVELOPING EFL LISTENING SKILLS. Наука и технология в современном мире, 4(7), 13–20. извлечено от <https://in-academy.uz/index.php/zdift/article/view/48708>
13. Axrorova , M. (2025). THE ROLE OF ROLE-PLAY IN ENHANCING EFL WRITING DEVELOPMENT. Общественные науки в современном мире: теоретические и практические исследования, 4(7), 39–46. извлечено от <https://in-academy.uz/index.php/zdif/article/view/48706>
14. Axrorova , M. . (2025). THE USE OF GRAPHIC ORGANIZERS IN TEACHING EFL READING SKILLS. Наука и инновация, 3(10), 48–55. извлечено от <https://in-academy.uz/index.php/si/article/view/48702>
15. Axrorova , M. . (2025). HOW PERSONAL NARRATIVES IMPROVE WRITING SKILLS OF EFL LEARNERS. Молодые ученые, 3(10), 43–49. извлечено от <https://inlibrary.uz/index.php/yosc/article/view/78357>



AKADEMIC JOURNAL OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH (AJER)
international scientific journal
3-son

Nashr qilingan sana: 29.03.2025.
Shrift: "Times New Roman".

“ACADEMIC JOURNAL” MCHJ

Manzil: 700096, Toshkent shahri, Chilozor tumani, Bog‘iston ko‘chasi, 116/6.
www.ajeruz.com, info@ajeruz.com, +998950457172